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#### Abstract

Reactions of 0.5 eq. of the dinuclear complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-arene) $\mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (arene $=\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \eta^{6}-p-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ) and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}\right.$, Ir ; $\left.\mathrm{Cp}^{*}=\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ with 4,6-disubstituted pyrazolyl-pyrimidine ligands (L) viz. 4,6-bis(pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L1), 4,6-bis(3-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L2), 4,6-bis(3,5-dimethyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L3) lead to the formation of the cationic mononuclear complexes [( $\eta^{6}$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1, \mathbf{1}$; L2, 2; L3, 3$),\left[\left(\eta^{6}-{ }^{-}{ }^{-}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1, \mathbf{4} ; \mathrm{L} 2, \mathbf{5}$; L3, 6$),\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$ $(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,7 ; \mathrm{L} 2, \mathbf{8} ; \mathrm{L} 3, \mathbf{9})$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Ir}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1, \mathbf{1 0} ; \mathrm{L} 2, \mathbf{1 1} ; \mathrm{L} 3,12)$, while reactions with 1.0 eq. of the dinuclear complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ give rise to the dicationic dinuclear complexes $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2+}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,13\right.$; L2, 14; L3, 15), $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1$, 16; L2, 17; L3, 18), $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) R h C l\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,19 ; \mathrm{L} 2,20 ; \mathrm{L} 3,21)$ and $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \operatorname{IrCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]^{2+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 122 ; \mathrm{L} 2$, 23; L3 24). The molecular structures of $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ have been established by single crystal X-ray structure analysis.


© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

During the last few decades there has been great interest in the chemistry of transition metals associated with polydentate ligands with $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized nitrogen atoms, for instance, polypyrazolylborates [1,2] and polypyridines [2-10]. In many cases the charge transfer properties of these compounds justify this interest. Especially with these nitrogen donor ligands have been shown to be effective catalysts for oxidation reactions [11-19] and for ringopening metathesis polymerization [20] and recent studies of arene ruthenium complexes have shown that they are found to inhibit cancer cell growth [21-26], as non-linear optical (NLO) materials [27,28]. For a majority of the complexes studied, the metal centers are linked by a bridging ligand and the nature of the bridge has a fundamental influence on the electronic interaction between the metals and therefore on the characteristics of the material.

The ligand 4,6-bis(pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L1) and its analogues, 4,6-bis(3-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L2) and 4,6-bis(3,5-dimethyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L3), are the subject of this investigation. They have a structural similarity to 3,6-bis(pyrazolyl)pyridazine (A) and 4,5-bis(pyrazolyl)quinoxaline (B) both of which have been previously studied $[29,30]$. In our previous work, we demonstrated that the ligand A does not yield dinuclear compounds with two half-sandwich platinum group metal atoms, since

[^0]the steric nature of the ligand, resulted only in the formation of mononuclear complexes [29]. However it is true in the case of more sterically free ligand B also, because it also yielded mononuclear complexes, since the pyrazole rings of the ligand tilted with respect to the central quinoxaline ring because of the steric collide between the R' groups of the pyrazole rings. In the case of ligand $\mathbf{A}$ the pyrazolyl rings are bonded para to each other, where as in the case of ligand $\mathbf{B}$, they are bonded ortho to each other on central six membered rings. But sterically less demanding ligand L (L1-L3) can coordinate to two half-sandwich platinum group metal centers, since the pyrazolyl rings of the ligand are bonded meta to each other, leading to reduced steric interaction, which enhances the stability of the dinuclear complexes.

In recent years, we have been carrying out arene ruthenium complexation reactions with a variety of nitrogen-based ligands [31-36] including pyridyl-pyridazine and pyrazolyl-pyridazine ligands. Ruthenium complexes of these types of nitrogen-based ligands have a capacity to function as catalysts for the oxidation of water to oxygen [37-39]. Although extensive studies have been made on ruthenium complexes containing polypyridyl ligands, complexes containing pyrazolyl-pyrimidine ligands have not yet been investigated.

In the present paper, we focus on the synthetic methodology applied for the development of homogeneous and immobilized half-sandwich ruthenium, rhodium and iridium complexes bearing bis(pyrazolyl)pyrimidine, as a specific $N, N$-bidentate bridging ligands (L) (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General remarks

All solvents were dried and distilled prior to use. 3-methylpyrazole, 3,5-dimethylpyrazole and 4,6-dichloropyrimidine (Aldrich) were purchased and used as received. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$, $\left[\left(\eta{ }^{6}-p-{ }^{-} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \quad[40-42]$, and $\quad\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Ir}$ ) [43-45] were prepared according to literature methods. The preparation of ligand 4,6-bis(pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L1) has been previously described [46] and ligand 4,6-bis(3,5-di-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L3) was prepared by the modified procedure of Oro et al. [47]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AMX-400 MHz spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded as KBr pellets on a Perkin-Elmer 983 spectrophotometer; elemental analyses of the complexes were performed on a Perkin-Elmer2400 CHN/S analyzer. Mass spectra were obtained from Waters ZQ 4000 mass spectrometer by ESI method. Absorption spectra were obtained at room temperature using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 25 UV-Vis spectrophotometer.

### 2.2. Single crystal X-ray structure analyses

Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study for compounds $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ were grown by slow diffusion of diethylether/hexane into dichloromethane/acetone solution of complexes $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[18]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, respectively. The crystals were mounted on a Stoe Image Plate Diffraction system equipped with a $\phi$ circle goniometer, using Mo K $\alpha$ graphite monochromated radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) with $\phi$ range $0-200^{\circ}$. The structures were solved by direct methods using the program shelxs-97 [48]. Refinement and all further calculations were carried out using shelxi-97 [49]. The H-atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using the shelxl default parameters. The non-H atoms were refined anisotropically, using weighted full-matrix least-square on $F^{2}$. Crystallographic details are summarized in Table 1 and selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 2. Figs. 1-4 were drawn with ORTEP [50] and Fig. 5 with the software MERCURY [51,52].

### 2.3. Preparation of ligands (L2 and L3)

### 2.3.1. 4,6-Bis(3-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L2)

To a solution of 3-methylpyrazole ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 14.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 50 ml of THF was added fine pieces of potassium ( $0.600 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the mixture was refluxed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the potassium was completely dissolved. Then 4,6-dichloropyrimidine ( 1.094 g ,
7.35 mmol ) was added to the reaction mixture(pale-brown solution), which was refluxed for 5 h . Then 80 ml of water was added and the solution refrigerated overnight. The colorless solid was filtered and washed with water ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried under $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. The pure compound was obtained after recrystallization from chloroform/hexane.

Yield: 0.72 g, 57.8\%.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : C, 59.99; H, 5.03; N, 34.98. Found: C, 59.63; H, 5.18; N, 34.72\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.39\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 241.11[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2924m, 1597s, 1464m, 1382m, 1211m, 1044s, 777s.

### 2.3.2. 4,6-Bis(3,5-dimethyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L3)

The L3 ligand was synthesized in a similar manner using 3,5dimethylpyrazole $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}$, 15.0 mmol ) and 4,6 -dichloropyrimidine ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). However, after refluxing the THF solution for 5 h , the mixture was evaporated to dryness. The product was extracted three times with 30 ml of toluene. The solvent was removed, and the white solid obtained was dissolved in dichloromethane layering the resulting solution with hexane led to the precipitation of traces of pyrazole. L3 was obtained after filtration and evaporation to dryness of the resulting solution. The solid was recrystallized from chloroform/ hexane.

Yield: $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6}$ : C, 62.67; H, 6.01; N, 31.32. Found: C, 62.68; $\mathrm{H}, 6.05$; $\mathrm{N}, 31.28 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),, 2.67\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $269.14[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$); IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3446w, 2925m, 1593s, 1484s, 1385m, 1266m, 1019s, 771 m .

### 2.4. General procedure for the preparation of the mononuclear complexes 1-6

A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \quad$ (arene $=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $p-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ) ( 0.07 mmol ), ligand L (L1, L2 or L3) ( 0.15 mmol ) and 2.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dry methanol ( 15 ml ) was stirred at room temperature for 8 h resulting in the precipitation of a dark yellow solid. The precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with cold methanol, diethyl ether and dried in vacuo.

### 2.4.1. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-C_{6} H_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(L 1) \mathrm{Cl}\right] P F_{6}\left([1] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $66 \mathrm{mg}, 82.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (571.97): C, 33.61; H, 2.47; N, 14.70. Found: C, 33.73; H, 2.65; N, 13.98\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.56\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,

Table 1
Details of the data collection and results of the structure refinement parameters for complexes [3]PF ${ }_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},[\mathbf{6}] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Complex | [3] $\mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | [6] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | [7] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | [18] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OPRu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{PRu}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{ClF}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{PRh}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OP}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 628.03 | 684.03 | 630.77 | 1117.75 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | $P \overline{1}$ | P $21 / a$ |
| Crystal color and shape | Orange blade | Red block | Red block | Orange block |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.25 \times 0.12 \times 0.12$ | $0.28 \times 0.28 \times 0.18$ | $0.50 \times 0.26 \times 0.15$ | $0.21 \times 0.18 \times 0.13$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 8.4350 (11) | 8.4928(10) | 7.5841(6) | 17.1745(13) |
| $b$ ( $\AA$ ) | 9.1359(12) | 12.1384(14) | 11.2581(10) | 13.5980(8) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 16.328(2) | 14.1361(16) | 14.7304(12) | 17.6465(11) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 91.340(2) | 99.418(2) | 74.056(2) | 90.00 |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 92.071(2) | 90.677(2) | 88.015(2) | 90.062(8) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 105.129(2) | 104.611(2) | 88.408(2) | 90.00 |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1213.2(3) | 1389.0(3) | 1208.39(17) | 4121.1(5) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| $T$ (K) | 203(2) | 203(2) | 203(2) | 173(2) |
| $D_{\chi}\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | 1.763 | 1.636 | 1.734 | 1.802 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.895 | 0.785 | 0.952 | 1.033 |
| Scan range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $2.31<\theta<28.31$ | $1.76<\theta<32.38$ | $1.88<\theta<32.33$ | $1.91<\theta<26.08$ |
| Unique reflections | 12483 | 17438 | 14825 | 8096 |
| Reflections used [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | 5998 | 9027 | 7813 | 5203 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0260 | 0.0383 | 0.0244 | 0.0644 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | 0.0385, wR $\mathrm{R}_{2} 0.1160$ | 0.0513, wR ${ }_{2} 0.1368$ | 0.0428, $w R_{2}=0.1387$ | 0.0379, $w R_{2}=0.0791$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | 0.0415, wR 2.1203 | 0.0615, wR 20.1471 | 0.0463, $w R_{2}=0.1437$ | 0.0700, $w R_{2}=0.0862$ |
| Goodness-of-fit (GOF) | 1.186 | 1.121 | 1.178 | 0.849 |
| Maximum, Minimum $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.183, -0.564 | 1.128, -0.754 | 1.214, -1.051 | 0.949, -0.807 |

Table 2
Selected bond lengths and angles for complexes $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[18]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

|  | $[\mathbf{3}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $[\mathbf{6}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Distances $(\AA \AA)$ |  |  |  |  |
| M1-Cl1 | $2.402(7)$ | $2.388(8)$ | $2.40(6)$ | $2.393(1)$ |
| M2-Cl2 | - | - | - | $2.391(1)$ |
| M1-N1 | $2.076(2)$ | $2.102(2)$ | $2.106(2)$ | $2.072(3)$ |
| M1-N3 | $2.092(2)$ | $2.093(2)$ | $2.138(2)$ | $2.092(3)$ |
| M2-N4 | - | - | - | $2.092(3)$ |
| M2-N6 | - | - | - | $2.076(3)$ |
| M1-centroid $^{\text {a }}$ | 1.690 | 1.685 | 1.770 | 1.685 |
| M2-centroid $^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | 1.678 |
| Angles $\left.{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| N1-M1-N3 | $75.33(7)$ | $75.56(9)$ | $75.34(8)$ | $75.70(1)$ |
| N4-M2-N6 | - | - | - | $75.0(1)$ |
| N1-M1-Cl1 | $84.76(6)$ | $87.51(7)$ | $90.43(7)$ | $82.86(3)$ |
| N4-M2-Cl2 | - | - | - | $84.26(9)$ |
| N3-M1-Cl1 | $84.09(6)$ | $84.27(7)$ | $84.73(6)$ | $83.31(9)$ |
| N6-M2-Cl2 | - | - | - | $81.70(9)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Calculated centroid-to-metal distances $\left(\eta^{6}-C_{6}\right.$ or $\eta^{5}-C_{5}$ coordinated aromatic ring).
$\left.{ }^{3} J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.63\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.67\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 6.07 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $427.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 392.1\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}, 314.1\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 844 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1522 \mathrm{~m}$, $1558 \mathrm{~m}, 1608 \mathrm{~s}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 1\right)$, 2925m, 3446 w ; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max }$ $\left.\mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}: 246(0.16), 324(0.17)$, 381(0.25), 464(0.04).

### 2.4.2. [ $\left.\left(\eta^{6}-C_{6} H_{6}\right) R u(L 2) C l\right] P F_{6}\left([2] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $62 \mathrm{mg}, 74.6 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (599.86): C, 39.91 ; H , 3.35; N, 15.51. Found: C, 40.22; H, 3.08; N, 14.92\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}$ ): $\delta=9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 455.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 419.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 341.1\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}_{-} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}$, $1592 \mathrm{~m}, 1558 \mathrm{~m}, 1522 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 2925 \mathrm{~m}, 3434 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}: 319(0.23)$, 345(0.18), 456(0.04).

### 2.4.3. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-C_{6} H_{6}\right) R u(L 3) C l\right] P F_{6}\left([3] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 86.3 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (628.03): C, 42.16; H , 3.89; N, 14.75. Found: C, 41.90; H, 4.05; N, 14.33\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ESI-MS (m/z): $483.3\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$, $448.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 413.3\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s}$ $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1525 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right), 2925 \mathrm{~m}, 3482 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 273(0.15), 309(0.15), 354(0.25), 452(0.06).

### 2.4.4. [( $\left.\left.\eta^{6}-{ }^{-}{ }^{i}{ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L} 1) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([4] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$

Yield: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 75.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (628.03): C, 38.26 ; H , 3.53; N, 13.38. Found: C, 37.92; H, 3.77; N, 12.95\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=9.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 8.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 6.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.99\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 2.74\left(\mathrm{sept}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}-\mathrm{Me}\right), 1.14\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) ; \quad$ ESI-MS $\quad(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 483.1 \quad\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 448.1$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 314.1 \quad\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{p}-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right]^{+}$; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $844 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1605 \mathrm{~m}, 1557 \mathrm{~m}, 1523 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 1\right), 3058 \mathrm{~m}, 3489 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ : 286(0.65) 333(0.21), 436(0.02).

## 

Yield: $68 \mathrm{mg}, 73.4 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (651.06): C, 43.28; H , 4.29; N, 13.77. Found: C, 43.78; H, 3.94; N, 13.92\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.89$ (s, 1H), $7.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 5.98\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.75 (sept, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.18$ (s, 3H, Arp-cyMe), 1.42 (d, $\left.3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 513.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 478.6\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 344.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{PrCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right]^{+}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 843s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1606 \mathrm{~m}$, $1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $\left.\mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ : 294(0.36), 349(0.82), 470(0.02).

### 2.4.6. $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L3}) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([\mathbf{6}] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $77 \mathrm{mg}, 82.7 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RuClPF}_{6}$ (684.09): C, 46.06; H, 4.83; N, 13.43. Found: C, 46.73; H, 4.25; N, 13.07\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), $5.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.77\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 2.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.78$ (s, 3H, CH3 ), 2.49 (sept, 1H, CH(CH3 $)_{2}$ ), 2.17 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}-\mathrm{Me}$ ), $1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 538.8\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 503.5\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$, $369.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-p-\mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right]^{+}$; IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 843s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}$, $1608 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1527 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right)$, $3050 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ : 287(0.38), 346(0.74), 477(0.08).

### 2.5. General procedure for the preparation of the mononuclear complexes 7-12

A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2} \quad(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh} \quad$ and $\quad$ Ir $)$ ( 0.08 mmol ), ligand L (L1, L2 and L3) ( 0.17 mmol ) and 2.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dry methanol ( 20 ml ) was refluxed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature for $4-6 \mathrm{~h}$, resulting yellow color precipitation. The precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with cold methanol, diethyl ether and dried in vacuo.

### 2.5.1. [(Cp*)Rh(L1)Cl]PF ${ }_{6}\left([7] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $73 \mathrm{mg}, 77.8 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RhClPF}_{6}$ (630.04): C, 38.08 ; H, 3.68; N, 13.98. Found: C, 38.13; H, 3.75; N, 13.91\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.66$ (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.33\left(\mathrm{~d}, \quad 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.31$ (d, 1 H , ${ }^{3} J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.71(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$; ESI-MS (m/z): $485.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$, $450.6\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 315.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right]$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 844 \mathrm{~s}$ $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1606 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 1\right), 2999 \mathrm{~m}, 3448 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 268(0.78), 286(0.76), 357(0.4), 444(0.09).

### 2.5.2. [(Cp*)Rh(L2)Cl]PF ${ }_{6}\left([\mathbf{8}] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $65 \mathrm{mg}, 62.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RhClPF}_{6}$ (658.07): C, 35.32; H , 3.64; N, 11.23. Found: C, 35.26; H, 3.69; N, 11.21\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.09$ (s, 1H), 6.76 (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $513.8\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 478.12\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 343.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-C \mathrm{Cp}^{*}}\right] ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{~m}$, $1521 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}$ $\left.\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}: 266(0.54), 301(0.45), 343(0.57), 452(0.07)$.

### 2.5.3. [(Cp*)Rh( $\left.\left.\mathrm{L3}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right] P F_{6}\left([\mathbf{9}] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $78 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{RhClPF}_{6}$ (686.87): C, 41.97 ; H, 4.55; N, 12.24. Found: C, 41.86; H, 4.65; N, 12.18\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.05$ (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), $7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (s, 1H), $2.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $541.81\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$, $506.6\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 371.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right]$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 843 \mathrm{~s}$ $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}, 1557 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right), 3050 \mathrm{~m}, 3434 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 261(0.09), 314(0.61), 441(0.05).

### 2.5.4. [(Cp*)Ir(L1)Cl]PF ${ }_{6}\left([\mathbf{1 0}] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $66 \mathrm{mg}, 58.4 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{IrClPF}_{6}$ (720.09): C, 33.61 ; H, 2.47; N, 14.70. Found: C, 33.73; H, 2.65; N, 13.98\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=8.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.66$ (d, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.39\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.32$ (d, 1 H , $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.01\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) ;$ ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 575.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 535.6\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}^{+}, 400.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right]\right.$; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1592 \mathrm{~m}, 1558 \mathrm{~m}, 1522 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 1\right) 2924 \mathrm{~m}$, 3439 w ; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 279(0.53), 340(0.89), 402(0.20).

### 2.5.5. [(Cp*)Ir(L2)Cl]PF ${ }_{6}\left([\mathbf{1 1}] P F_{6}\right)$

Yield: $70 \mathrm{mg}, 57.8 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{IrClPF}_{6}$ (748.13): C, 35.32 ; H , 3.64; N, 11.23. Found: C, 35.25; H, 3.68; N, 11.22\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right): \delta=8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.09$ (s, 1H), $6.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.40\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $603.1\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}, 568.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 433.2$ [ $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}^{\left.-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right] ; ~ I R ~}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{~m}$, $1521 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3446 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}$ $\left.\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}: 267(0.54), 298(0.82), 429(0.08)$.

### 2.5.6. [(Cp*)Ir(L3)Cl]PF ${ }_{6}\left(\left[\mathbf{1 2 ] P F}{ }_{6}\right)\right.$

Yield: $78 \mathrm{mg}, 64.4 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{IrClPF}_{6}$ (776.96): C, 37.14; H, 4.03; N, 10.83. Found: C, 37.06; H, 4.05; N, 10.33\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right.$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $631.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$, $596.6\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}, 461.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right]$; $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 843s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1606 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 3050 \mathrm{~m}, 3446 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 268(0.50), 301(0.41), 361(0.51) and 464(0.04).

### 2.6. General procedure for the preparation of the dinuclear complexes 13-18

A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-arene }\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$ (arene $=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\eta^{6}$ -$\left.p-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, ligand L (L1, L2 and L3) ( 0.1 mmol ) and 2.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dry methanol ( 15 ml ) was stirred at room temperature for 14 h resulting orange color precipitation. The precipitate was filtered, washed with cold methanol, diethyl ether and dried in vacuo.

### 2.6.1. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(L 1)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{1 3}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $72 \mathrm{mg}, 77.4 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (931.41): C , 28.37; H, 2.16; N, 9.02. Found: C, 38.21 ; H, 2.45 ; N, $8.98 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 6.15 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ );. ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 786.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 427.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{~m}, 1521 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right.$ L1), $2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 259(0.21), 318(0.23), 380(0.08).

### 2.6.2. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-C_{6} H_{6}\right) R u C l\right\}_{2}(L 2)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{1 4}]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $71 \mathrm{mg}, 74.7 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (959.46): C, 30.04; H, 2.52; N, 8.76. Found: C, 29.95; H, 2.58; N, 8.61\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 814.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 455.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 843 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}, 1557 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right.$

L2), $3010 \mathrm{~m}, 3449 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 262(0.29), $310(0.75), 374(0.12)$.

### 2.6.3. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 3)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{1 5}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $81 \mathrm{mg}, 82.6 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (987.52): C, 31.62; H, 2.86; N, 8.51. Found: C, 31.50; H, 2.95; N, 8.33\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 6.21 (s, 12H, C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ), 2.81 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ESIMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $842.4\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 483.3\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $843 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1606 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right), 3050 \mathrm{~m}, 3451 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ : 271(0.18), 315(0.81), 380(0.11).

### 2.6.4. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-{ }^{-}{ }^{i}{ }^{i} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{L1})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{1 6}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $87 \mathrm{mg}, 83.6 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1043.62): C, 34.53; H, 3.48; N, 8.05. Found: C, 34.42 ; H, 3.47; N, $7.96 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.65\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $8.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 6.08 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 6.06 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 5.99 (d, 2H, $\left.{ }^{3} J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 5.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right.$ ), 2.74-2.69 (sept, $\left.2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ Me), 1.14 (d, $\left.6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $898.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 483.3\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 844s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1599 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1525 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 1\right)$, $2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 260(0.29), 310(0.58), 378(0.13).

### 2.6.5. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{-}{ }^{-} \mathrm{Pr}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(L 2)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([17]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$ <br> Yield: $77 \mathrm{mg}, 71.9 \%$.

Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1071.67): C, 35.86; H, 3.76; N, 7.84. Found: C, 43.78; H, 3.94; N, 13.92\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right)$, 5.99 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), $5.96\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \operatorname{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right.$ ), 5.96 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 2.81 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.75 (sept, 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.26$ (s, 6H, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}-\mathrm{Me}\right), 1.19\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 926.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 513.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 843 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}$, $1557 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right), 3011 \mathrm{~m}, 3451 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}: 271(0.18)$, 315(0.81), 380(0.11).

### 2.6.6. $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-{ }^{-}-{ }^{i}{ }^{i}{ }^{-1} C_{6} H_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(L 3)\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $90 \mathrm{mg}, 82.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ru}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1099.73): C, 37.13; H, 4.03; N, 7.64. Found: C, 37.05; H, 4.07; N, 7.64. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=9.91$ (s, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 6.68 (s, 2H), 6.11 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 6.08 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), 5.95 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}$ ), $5.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{cy}}\right), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.66 (sept, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}-}\right.$ ${ }_{\mathrm{cy}}-\mathrm{Me}$ ), $1.09\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.06\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$; ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 954.73\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 538.8\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 843s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1608 \mathrm{~m}, 1562 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right)$, $3041 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 263(0.29), 310(0.75), 376(0.12).

### 2.7. General procedure for the preparation of the dinuclear complexes 19-24

A mixture of $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}$ and Ir$)(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, ligand $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{L} 1, \mathrm{~L} 2$ and L 3$)(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2.5 equivalents of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in dry methanol ( 20 ml ) was refluxed at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , resulting a orange color precipitation. The precipitate was separated by filtration, washed with cold methanol, diethyl ether and dried in vacuo.
2.7.1. $\left.\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) R h C l\right]_{2}(L 1)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([19]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $66 \mathrm{mg}, 79.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1049.31): C, 34.34; H, 3.65; N, 8.01. Found: C, 34.23; H, 3.75; N, 7.91\%. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $8.36\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92\left(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 1.81 (s, 30H, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $904.3\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 485.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1592 \mathrm{~m}, 1558 \mathrm{~m}, 1522 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right.$ L1), $2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis (acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 271(0.18), 315(0.81), 380(0.11).

### 2.7.2. $\left.\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) R h C l\right]_{2}(L 2)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{2 0}]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}, 70.5 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1077.36): C, 35.67; H, 3.93; N, 7.80. Found: C, 35.26; H, 3.99; N, 7.61\%. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 8.12 (s, 1H), 6.79 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 2.73 ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $1.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$; ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ):513.8 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$, $932.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 513.8\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}$, $1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{~m}, 1521 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right)$, 2998m, 3449w; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\left.\lambda_{\text {max }} \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)\right\}$ : 259(0.11), 315(0.51), 380(0.08).

### 2.7.3. $\left.\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) R h C l\right]_{2}(L 3)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{2 1}]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $67 \mathrm{mg}, 77.1 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1105.41): C, 36.94; H, 4.19; N, 7.60. Found: C, 36.86; H, 4.15; N, 7.58\%. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 2.84 (s, 6H, CH3 $), 2.79$ (s, 6H, CH ${ }_{3}$ ), 1.88 (s, 30H, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESIMS $(m / z): 960.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 541.81\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $843 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1601 \mathrm{~m}, 1557 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right), 3010 \mathrm{~m}, 3442 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 269(0.18), 315(0.48), 371(0.10).

### 2.7.4. $\left.\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) I r C l\right]_{2}(L 1)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([22]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $72 \mathrm{mg}, 75.7 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ir}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1227.93): C, 29.34; H, 3.12; N, 6.84. Found: C, 29.23; H, 3.15; N, 6.71\%. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 8.41 (d, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 8.03 (s, 1H), 6.95 (dd, $2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.79 (s, 30H, $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESI-MS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $1082.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 575.2$ $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 845 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1598 \mathrm{~m}, 1559 \mathrm{~m}, 1521 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right.$ L1), $2995 \mathrm{~m}, 3447 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 260(0.19), 310(0.67), 368(0.11).

### 2.7.5. $\left.\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) I \mathrm{ICl}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{L2})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\left([\mathbf{2 3}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $60 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ir}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1255.98): C, 30.60; H, 3.37; N, 6.69. Found: C, 30.45 ; H, 3.48; N, $6.51 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.63\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75(\mathrm{~s}$, $30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ); ESI-MS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 1111.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 603.1\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 844s $v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1599 \mathrm{~m}, 1560 \mathrm{~m}, 1525 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 2\right)$, $3002 \mathrm{~m}, 3441 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ ): 265(0.16), 315(0.56), 371(0.09).

### 2.7.6. $\left.\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) I r C l\right]_{2}(L 3)\right]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\left([24]\left(P F_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$

Yield: $74 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$.
Elemental Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ir}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{12}$ (1284.04): C, 31.80; H, 3.61; N, 6.54. Found: C, 31.76; H, 3.78; N, 6.33\%. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$; ESIMS $(m / z): 1139.5\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}+\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}\right]^{+}, 631.2\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+} ; \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $843 \mathrm{~s} v_{(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{F})}, 1608 \mathrm{~m}, 1562 \mathrm{~m}, 1524 \mathrm{~m}\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L} 3\right), 3016 \mathrm{~m}, 3450 \mathrm{w}$; UV-Vis \{acetonitrile, $\lambda_{\max } \mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ \}: 268(0.19), 321(0.61), 376(0.13).

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Syntheses of ligands and complexes

Ligands L2 and L3 were prepared from the corresponding pyrazolato anion and 4,6-dichloropyrimidine. Reaction of half equivalent of dinuclear arene ruthenium complexes [( $\eta^{6}$-arene $) \mathrm{R}$ -$\mathrm{u}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ (arene $=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, p-{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ) with one equivalent of $4,6-$ disubstituted pyrimidine ligands viz. 4,6-bis(pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L1), 4,6-bis(3-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L2) or 4,6-bis(3,5-di-methyl-pyrazolyl)pyrimidine (L3) in methanol generates the mononuclear complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1, \mathbf{1}$; L2, 2; L3, 3), $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{\text {i }} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,4 ; \mathrm{L} 2,5 ; \mathrm{L} 3,6)$, respectively (Scheme 2). The homologous complexes with two coordinated arene ruthenium fragments, $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \quad(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L} 1\right.$, 13; L2, 14; L3, 15) and $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{-} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,16 ; \mathrm{L} 2,17$; L3, 18), were prepared when a $1: 1 \mathrm{M}$ ratio was used and over prolonged reaction times (Scheme 2). All these cationic ruthenium complexes were isolated as their hexafluorophosphate salts.

Similarly, the reaction of half equivalent of the dimeric chlorobridged complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{M}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}$, Ir$)$ with 4,6 -disubsti-tuted-pyrimidine ligands (L) in methanol generates the mononuclear
cationic complexes of the type $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,7 ; \mathrm{L} 2,8 ; \mathrm{L} 3,9)$, $\left[\left(C p^{*}\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L} 1,10 ; \mathrm{L} 2,11 ; \mathrm{L3}, 12)$, respectively (Scheme 3). The homologous complexes with two coordinated $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Rh}$ or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ Ir fragments, $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{RhCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L} 1,19 ; \mathrm{L} 2,20 ; \mathrm{L} 3,21)$ and $\left[\left\{\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{ICl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L})\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L} 1, \mathbf{2 2} ; \mathrm{L} 2,23 ; \mathrm{L} 3,24)$, were prepared when a $1: 1 \mathrm{M}$ ratio was used and at prolonged reaction times (Scheme 3). All these cationic rhodium or iridium complexes were isolated as their hexafluorophosphate salts.

When the mononuclear complexes [1] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ - [12] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ were further reacted with half mole of arene ruthenium or $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Rh}$ or Ir dimers in acetonitrile solution, no reaction took place and isolated as starting compounds. Also attempts to synthesize hetero-nuclear complexes by reaction of the mononuclear complexes with other metal atoms led to no reaction.

All these complexes are orange yellow in color, non-hygroscopic, air stable solids. They are soluble in acetonitrile but partially soluble in dichloromethane, chloroform and acetone.

### 3.2. Characterization of mononuclear complexes $\mathbf{1}$ - 12

All these mononuclear complexes were characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, mass and elemental analysis. The infrared spectra of the complexes $[\mathbf{1}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}-[\mathbf{1 2}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ exhibit a strong band in the region


L2: $R=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$. $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$
L3: $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{C}_{3}$


Scheme 2.


Scheme 3.
$844-850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, a typical $v_{\text {P-F }}$ stretching band for the $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ anions. Moreover, all complexes show absorption bands around 1600$1610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 1550-1558 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1522-1528 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to $v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}$ vibrations of pyrazole and pyrimidine moieties [52] besides these absorptions 2990-3050 and 3400-3450 were observed.

The mass spectra of these complexes exhibited, as expected, the corresponding molecular ion peaks $m / z$ at $427,455,483,483,511$, $538,485,513,541,575,603$ and 631 . For instance complex [1] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ shown four fragments, a molecular ion peak at $427[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 391$ $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+}, 313\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}\right.$-arene ${ }^{+}$and $213[\mathrm{~L}+1]$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the free ligands L1-L3 exhibit a characteristic set of five resonances for the eight protons of pyrazole and pyrimidine rings, since the pyrazole rings are in symmetrical position. Upon coordination with the metal atom, each mononuclear complex has shown seven to eight set of resonances for the ligand L1-L3 protons in the region $\delta=9.56-6.78, \delta=9.41-2.43$, and $\delta=$ $9.34-2.17$, respectively. It indicates the formation of mononuclear complexes. The resonances of the coordinated pyrazole and pyrimidine rings protons shifted to higher frequency as a consequence of their coordination to the ruthenium or rhodium or iridium atom. However, arene ruthenium complexes $[\mathbf{1}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}-[\mathbf{6}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, the resonances of ligand protons significantly shifted to down field compared to $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Rh}$ or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ Ir complexes, $[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ - [12] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$. Besides these ligand resonances, complexes [1] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}-[\mathbf{3}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ exhibit a singlet for the benzene ring protons at $\delta=6.20-5.93$, complexes [4] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ to [6] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ exhibit a septet at $\delta=2.70$ for the protons of the isopropyl group and a singlet at $\delta=2.17$ for the methyl protons of the $p$-cymene ring. The ring protons and methyl protons of the isopropyl group of the $p$-cymene ligand have shown an unusual pattern of resonances. For instance, the methyl protons of the isopropyl group displays two doublets at $c a . \delta=1.18-1.07$, instead of one doublet as in the starting complex. The aromatic protons of the $p$-cymene ligand display four doublets, instead of two doublets as in the starting precursor. This unusual pattern is due to the diastereotopic methyl protons of the isopropyl group and aromatic protons of the $p$-cymene ligand since the ruthenium atom is stereogenic due to the coordination of four different ligand atoms [53,54]. Complexes [1] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ to [12] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ exhibit a singlet at $\delta=1.71-1.55$ for the five methyl groups of the Cp * ligand. The chemical shift of the co-ligands arene or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ring protons are shifted to down field compared to the starting precursors.

### 3.3. Molecular structure of selected mononuclear complexes

The molecular structure of the $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L} 3) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([3] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$, $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{-} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{L} 3) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([6] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ as well as the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ rhodium complex $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{L} 1) \mathrm{Cl}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\left([7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)$ have been established by single crystal X-ray structure analysis. These cationic complexes show a typical piano-stool geometry with the metal center being coordinated by an aromatic ligand, a terminal chloro ligand and a chelating 4,6-disubstituted-pyrimidine ligand. The metal atom possesses an octahedral arrangement with two cis-nitrogen atoms of the pyr-azolyl-pyrimidine ligand acting as a bidentate chelating ligand in a five-membered ring chelating fashion involving one nitrogen atom of the pyrazolyl moiety and the nitrogen atom of the pyrimidine group. The structures are shown in Figs. 1-3. Selected bond lengths and angles for $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6},[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and $[7] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ are presented in Table 2.

In the mononuclear complexes [3] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and [7] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ the N1-metal distance ( 2.076 and $2.106 \AA$ ) of the pyrazolyl moiety is slightly shorter than the corresponding pyrimidinyl, N3-metal distance (2.092, and $2.138 \AA$ ), in contrast to complex [ 6 ] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ in which the N1-metal ( $2.102 \AA$ ) distance is slightly longer than the corresponding N3-metal distance (2.093(2) $\AA$ ). The Rh-N bond distance (2.106(3) and 2.138(3) $\AA$ ) in 7 is slightly longer than the corresponding distances of ruthenium complex 3 (2.076(3) and $2.092(2) \AA$ ) and complex 6 ( 2.102 and 2.093 ), while the $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}$


Fig. 1. Molecular structure of [3] $\mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms, water molecule and hexafluorophosphate anion have been omitted for clarity.


Fig. 2. Molecular structure of [6] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms and hexafluorophosphate anion have been omitted for clarity.
[2.402(7), 2.388(8) and 2.400(6)] bond lengths show no significant differences in all the cations and similar reported values [55,56]. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}$ bond angles [75.33(7) in $\mathbf{3}$ and $75.56^{\circ}(9)$ in 6] are similar to that of complexes $\left[\left(\eta^{6} p\right.\right.$ - $\left.{ }^{\text {i }} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}(2,3$-bis $(\alpha$-pyridyl)quinoxaline)] ${ }^{+}$[76.2 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ [ [57]. The distances between the ruthenium atom and the centroid of the $C_{6}$ aromatic ring in 3 and 6 are comparable ( 1.69 and $1.68 \AA$ ) but quite shorter than the distance between the rhodium atom and the $C_{5}$ aromatic ring observed in $7(1.77 \AA$ ). The M-N1 bond distances [2.076(9) to $2.102(2) \AA$ ] are comparable to those in $\mathrm{g}_{6}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$


Fig. 3. Molecular structure of [7] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms and anion have been omitted for clarity.
$\left[\left(\eta^{6}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}(2-(1 \text {-imidazol-2-yl)pyridine })]^{+}[58]\right.$ and $\left[\left(\mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{Ir}(2-\right.$ (2'-pyridyl)-imidazole)Cl] ${ }^{+}$[59].

As amplified in Figs. 1-3, all cations possess metal-centered chirality as the metal atom is coordinated to four different ligator atoms. However, since none of the ligands contain chiral centers, they are all obtained as a racemic mixture and they all crystallize in the centrosymmetric space group $P \overline{1}$.

In the crystal packing of $[3] \mathrm{PF}_{6} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the hexafluorophosphate anion sits on side of the cationic complex and interacts with an
hydrogen atom of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ligand (see supplementary material). The hexafluorophosphate anion interacts with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ligand through C-H $\cdots$ F contacts: the $\mathrm{C} \cdots$ F distances being 3.22 and $3.26 \AA$ Aith C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ angles of 148.9 and $138.3^{\circ}$, respectively. In addition to this the oxygen atom interacts with one of the hydrogen atoms of ligand L1through C-H $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact: the $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance being $3.10 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle is $149.06^{\circ}$.

### 3.4. Characterization of the dinuclear complexes

The infrared spectra of the dinuclear complexes $[13]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-$ [24] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ exhibit a similar trend as for the mononuclear complexes $[\mathbf{1}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}-[\mathbf{1 2}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$. The mass spectra of these complexes exhibited two main peaks; a minor peak with an approximately $50 \%$ intensity attributed to $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$at $m / z 786,814,842,898$, $926,954,904,932,960,1082,1110$ and 1138 , respectively, and a major peak which corresponds to the loss of an $\left[\left(\text { arene } / \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right) \mathrm{MCl}\right]^{+}$ fragment, thus giving the corresponding mononuclear cations $\left[1-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}-\left[12-\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{+}$at $m / z=427,455,483,483,511,538,485$, 513, 541, 575, 603 and 631.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the dinuclear complexes $[\mathbf{1 3}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-$ $[24]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, exhibit five distinct resonances, which are assigned to pyrazole or substituted pyrazoles and pyrimidine ring protons of the ligand L1 or L2 or L3, indicating the formation of dinuclear complexes. The number of distinct resonances of these complexes is similar to the number of distinct resonances of free ligands, indicating that the pyrazole rings of the ligands remain symmetrical even after formation of the complexes. These results indicate the formation of dinuclear complexes. The resonances of the coordinated pyrazole and pyrimidine protons shifted to considerable down filed as compared to mononuclear complexes, a consequence of their coordination to two ruthenium, rhodium or iridium atoms. However, in the arene ruthenium complexes $[13]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-$ $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, the resonances of the ligand protons significantly shifted to down field compared to $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Rh}$ or Ir complexes, $[19]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-[\mathbf{2 4}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$. Besides these resonances complexes


Fig. 4. Molecular structure of $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms, water molecule and hexafluorophosphate anions have been omitted for clarity.
[13] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-[15]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ exhibit a singlet for both benzene ring protons at $\delta=6.24$ and 6.15 ppm , complexes $[\mathbf{1 6}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ exhibit a similar trend like the mononuclear complexes [4] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ [4] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$. A septet at $\delta=2.70$ for the protons of the isopropyl group, a singlet at $\delta=2.17$ for the methyl protons of $p$-cymene ring, four doublets $c a . \delta=6.09-5.93$ for the ring protons of the $p$-cymene ligand and finally methyl protons of the isopropyl group displays two doublets at $c a . \delta=1.18$ and 1.07. Complexes [19] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-$ [24] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ exhibit a singlet in the region $\delta=1.88-1.77$ for the five methyl groups of the $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}$ ligand. The chemical shift of the arene co-ligands or $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ring protons are shifted to higher frequency compared to the starting precursors as well as compared to the mononuclear complexes.

### 3.5. Molecular structure of the dinuclear complex $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$

The molecular structure of $\left[\left\{\left(\eta^{6}-p-\text { Pr }^{i} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{RuCl}\right\}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 3)\right]^{2+}$ ([18] $\left.\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}\right)$ has been established by single crystal X-ray structure analysis. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 2. The dinuclear complex $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ shows a typical piano-stool geometry for the ruthenium atoms with the metal centers being coordinated by the aromatic ligand, a terminal chloride and a chelating $N, N$-ligand (Fig. 4). The compound $[18]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ contains two $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ metal centers which are bonded to a $\eta^{6}-p-\mathrm{Pr}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ligand and bridged by the L3 ligand through its nitrogen atoms. Interestingly, the dinuclear complex $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ reveals a trans conformation of the two chloro ligands (Fig. 4). The distance between the ruthenium atoms and the corresponding centroid of the $\eta^{6}$ -$p-{ }^{-} \mathrm{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ ring is 1.68 and $1.67 \AA$. These distances are comparable to those in the related complex cation $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-p-{ }^{-} \operatorname{PrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right) \mathrm{Ru}\right.$ (2-acetylthiazoleazine)Cl] [60].

The Ru-N bond distances ranging from 2.072(3) to 2.092(3) $\AA$ are shorter than in the mononuclear complex [6]PF 6 [2.102(3) and 2.093(3) $\AA$ ], interestingly the Ru to N1 or N5 (pyrazole) distances are shorter than the $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{N} 3$ or N 6 (pyrimidine) distances in the dinuclear complex $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, where as it is opposite in mononuclear complex $[6] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, while the ruthenium-chlorine bond


Fig. 5. Hydrogen bond network in $[\mathbf{1 8}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ showing the intermolecular interactions involving an hexafluorophosphate anion, a water molecule and cation $[18]^{+}$.
distances are comparable. In complex $[18]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$, the isopropyl group of the $p$-cymene ligand at Ru1 center located opposite to the halide ligand in order to reduce steric interactions, while at Ru2 the isopropyl group is located on same side to the halide ligand.

Complex [18] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ crystallizes with one molecule of water per asymmetric unit, forming an hydrogen-bonded network to the chloride atom and the fluorine atom of the hexafluorophosphate anion (Fig. 5). The water molecule interacts with chloride ligand through $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ contacts: the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ distance being $3.26 \AA$ with an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ angle of $156.3^{\circ}$. In addition to this, the water molecule interacts with one of the fluoride atoms of the hexafluorophosphate anion through $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ contacts: the $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance being $3.51 \AA$ and the $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ angle $154.09^{\circ}$.

## 4. UV-Vis spectroscopy

Electronic absorption spectra of the mononuclear complexes $[1] \mathrm{PF}_{6}-[12] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ as well as the dinuclear complexes $[13]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-$ [24] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ were acquired in acetonitrile, at $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ concentration in the range $250-550 \mathrm{~nm}$. Electronic spectra of representative complexes are depicted in Fig. 6. The spectra of these complexes are characterized by two main features, viz., an intense ligandlocalized or intra-ligand $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transition in the ultraviolet region and metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) $\mathrm{d} \pi(\mathrm{M}) \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ (L1 - ligand) bands in the visible region [61]. Since the low spin d ${ }^{6}$ configuration of the mononuclear complexes provides filled orbitals of suitable symmetry at the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II}), \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{III})$ and $\operatorname{Ir}$ (III) centers, these can interact with low lying $\pi^{*}$ orbitals of the ligands. All these mononuclear complexes [1] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}-[\mathbf{1 2}] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ show two medium intensity bands in the region $261-310 \mathrm{~nm}$, an intense band in the region $340-380 \mathrm{~nm}$ in UV region and a low energy absorption band in the visible region $450-470 \mathrm{~nm}$. Where as the dinuclear complexes [13] $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}-[\mathbf{2 4}]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)_{2}$ shown similar number of bands in higher frequency region, for instance a medium intensity band in the region $260-275 \mathrm{~nm}$, a high intensity band in the region 318322 nm and a broad band in the region $356-418 \mathrm{~nm}$. The medium intensity bands in UV region is assigned to $\pi-\pi^{*}$, a high intensity band in UV region is assigned to inter and intra-ligand $\pi-\pi^{*} / n-$ $\pi^{*}$ transitions [62,63], while the low energy absorption band in the visible region is assigned to metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) $\left(\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}-\pi^{*}\right)$.


Fig. 6. UV-Vis electronic spectra of selected complexes in acetonitrile at 298 K .

## 5. Conclusions

We have described and characterized new mono and dinuclear ruthenium, rhodium and iridium complexes with the ligands L1, L2 and L3, in good yield, which are remarkably stable in air as well as in solution. In both, mono and dinuclear complexes, the metal atom are bonded with the N -atom of the pyrazole moiety and the N -atom of the pyrimidine moiety. But our effort to make het-ero-nuclear complexes by using second binding site of the mononuclear complexes was unsuccessful, since coordination of the first metallic center does not induce the bonding in the second position in a kind of chemical symbiosis driven by the ligand or the metal center.
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## Appendix A. Supplementary material
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